

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/668,388	TAKEDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Douglas S. Lee	2125

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Douglas S. Lee. (3) _____

(2) Ellen Pazenger. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 May 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: na.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner inadvertently did not check the box 1 (Certified copies of the priority documents have been received) under item 4 of Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 USC. And the examiner inadvertently did not initial other reference (AM) for the consideration. These items are corrected.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required